

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/064,791	08/16/2002	Boris A. Movchan	13DV-13975	4089
30952	7590 09/08/2004		EXAMINER	
HARTMAN AND HARTMAN, P.C. 552 EAST 700 NORTH			VO, HAI	
VAIPARAISO, IN 46383			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1771	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	lac			
Advisory Action	10/064,791	MOVCHAN ET AL.	b.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hai Vo	1771				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 06 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	3 .			
NOTE: 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the double patenting rejections						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
	5.⊠ The a)⊠ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)□ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		o issues which were	newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	• • •		nd an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	•					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>26-30</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appr	oved or b) disapproved by th	ne Examiner.				
9.⊠ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	it(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). <u>0</u> :	<u>503</u> .				
10. Other:						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Affidavit filed on 08/06/04 will not be considered because good and sufficient reasons why it was not earlier presented have not been shown. Therefore, the art rejections over Ridney et al (US 6,492,038) are thus sustained. Further, the terminal disclaimer filed on 08/06/04 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of US 6,492,038 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded. The double patenting rejections are withdrawn in view of the submission of the terminal disclaimer.

TERREL MORRIS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700